## Case 3:20-cv-04677-JD Document 134 Filed 07/09/21 Page 1 of 3

1	KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP ROBERT A. VAN NEST - # 84065 rvannest@keker.com MATTHIAS A. KAMBER - # 232147	
2		
3	mkamber@keker.com	
4	PAVEN MALHOTRA - # 258429 pmalhotra@keker.com	
5	SHARIF E. JACOB - # 257546 sjacob@keker.com	
6	THOMAS E. GORMAN - # 279409 tgorman@keker.com	
7	EDWARD A. BAYLEY - # 267532 ebayley@keker.com	
8	633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1809	
9	Telephone: 415 391 5400 Facsimile: 415 397 7188	
10	Attorneys for Defendant	
11	NETFLÍX, INC.	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
14	BROADCOM CORPORATION, et al.,	Case No. 3:20-cv-04677-JD
15	Plaintiffs,	NOTICE OF INSTITUTION OF INTER
15 16	Plaintiffs, v.	NOTICE OF INSTITUTION OF <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW ON THE '992 AND '722 PATENTS
	·	<i>PARTES</i> REVIEW ON THE '992 AND '722 PATENTS
16	v.	<b>PARTES REVIEW ON THE '992 AND</b>
16 17	v. NETFLIX, INC.,	<i>PARTES</i> REVIEW ON THE '992 AND '722 PATENTS
16 17 18	v. NETFLIX, INC.,	PARTES REVIEW ON THE '992 AND '722 PATENTS  Judge: Honorable James Donato
16 17 18 19	v. NETFLIX, INC.,	PARTES REVIEW ON THE '992 AND '722 PATENTS  Judge: Honorable James Donato
16 17 18 19 20	v. NETFLIX, INC.,	PARTES REVIEW ON THE '992 AND '722 PATENTS  Judge: Honorable James Donato
16 17 18 19 20 21	v. NETFLIX, INC.,	PARTES REVIEW ON THE '992 AND '722 PATENTS  Judge: Honorable James Donato
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	v. NETFLIX, INC.,	PARTES REVIEW ON THE '992 AND '722 PATENTS  Judge: Honorable James Donato
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	v. NETFLIX, INC.,	PARTES REVIEW ON THE '992 AND '722 PATENTS  Judge: Honorable James Donato
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	v. NETFLIX, INC.,	PARTES REVIEW ON THE '992 AND '722 PATENTS  Judge: Honorable James Donato
16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24   25	v. NETFLIX, INC.,	PARTES REVIEW ON THE '992 AND '722 PATENTS  Judge: Honorable James Donato
16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24   25   26	v. NETFLIX, INC.,	PARTES REVIEW ON THE '992 AND '722 PATENTS  Judge: Honorable James Donato

NOTICE OF INSTITUTION OF *INTER PARTES* REVIEW ON THE '992 AND '722 PATENTS Case No. 3:20-cv-04677-JD

## TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL OF RECORD:

Pursuant to the Court's June 3, 2021 Order, (Dkt. No. 123), Netflix hereby gives notice that the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (the "Board") has instituted *inter partes* review ("IPR") of twenty-eight (28) claims of two additional asserted patents, placing under IPR all sixteen (16) of the asserted claims of those two patents.

First, the Board instituted IPR of claims 1–14 and 16–19 of U.S. Patent No. 8,270,992 (the "'992 patent"). Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the Board's June 21, 2021 Decision Granting Institution of *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,270,992 B2 in IPR2021-00303. All eight claims of the '992 patent currently asserted by Broadcom (Claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10) are now under IPR.

Second, the Board has also instituted IPR of claims 4, 6–8, 12, 14–16, 20, and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 7,457,722 (the "'722 patent"). Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of the Board's June 25, 2021 Decision Granting Institution of *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,457,722 B1 in IPR2021-00431. In conjunction with the previous institution decision on the '722 patent in IPR2021-00045, (*see* Dkt. No. 109-1, ¶ 11 & Ex. I), all eight claims of the '722 patent currently asserted by Broadcom (Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 11, 14, 15) are now under IPR.

With these institution decisions, the Board has now instituted IPR on 30 of 81 (37%) of the asserted claims in this litigation. Should the Court grant Netflix's motion to dismiss under 35 U.S.C. § 101, particularly as to the '079 and '245 patents, eleven (11) claims will no longer be asserted, and 30 of the 70 (42.9%) remaining asserted claims will be under IPR.

Institution decisions remain pending as to particular claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,982,663 and 8,572,138.

/////

11 ////

## Case 3:20-cv-04677-JD Document 134 Filed 07/09/21 Page 3 of 3

1	Respectfully submitted,
2	
3	Dated: July 9, 2021 KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP
4	By: /s/ Sharif E. Jacob
5	ROBERT A. VAN NEST MATTHIAS A. KAMBER
6	PAVEN MALHOTRA SHARIF E. JACOB THOMAS F. CORMAN
7	THOMAS E. GORMAN EDWARD A. BAYLEY
8	Attorneys for Defendant NETFLIX, INC.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2 NOTICE OF INSTITUTION OF <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW ON THE '992 AND '722 PATENTS

Case No. 3:20-cv-04677-JD